12/19

Memorandum

Date:

December 16, 2008

To:

Office of Assistant Commissioner, Inspector General

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Office of Investigations

File No.:

006.14419.15101.commandinspectionprogram

Subject:

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

The Office of Investigations recently completed the Command Inspection Program, covering Chapters 3 and 4, pursuant to HPM 22.1. Please find the attached Command Inspection Program Inspection Checklists for Chapters 3 and 4 and corresponding Exceptions Documents for your review. If you have any questions or desire additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

E. J. BARKLEY, Captain

Commander

Attachment

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

FXCFPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
OINV	ACIG	3
Inspected by:		Date;
J. Speasl	_	12/15/2008

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans, and may be used to appeal findings. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required. TYPE OF INSPECTION Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level Appeal Included ☐ Executive Office Level Attachments Included Commander's Signature: Forward to: Date: Follow-up Required: 005 ⊠ No ☐ Yes Due Date: 12/19/2008 Chapter Inspection. Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices:

Area is currently using standard practices.

Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement:

Creation of a universal contract tracking system so Area would ensure which contract invoices have been paid by Accounting, eliminating billing payment errors.

Inspector's Findings:

On December 15, 2008 a Command Procurements Command Inspection was performed at the direction of Lieutenant B. Cook pursuant to HPM 22.1. This inspection looked at four programs consisting of the Cal-Card program, the X-number program, Purchases and Contracts. The inspection included all folders and files associated with the above mentioned programs found within the Office of Investigations (OINV). OSS1 Maggie Peck was utilized as the Command's resource for assistance with the inspection.

Contracts, Item #18: CHP 78S forms were not located with contracts. Contracts, Item #30: There is no log sheet is not currently maintained.

Cal-Card & X-number: It was noted that some forms such as STD. 21, DGS certification letters and STD. 204 are maintained off-site at Fiscal Management Section for regular vendors.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
OINV	ACIG	3
Inspected by:		Date:
J. Speasl		12/15/2008

Page 2

Commander's Response:	-			
Inspector's Comments:				

Required. Action:

Corrective Action Plan/Timeline N/A

Area will develop contract log sheet to maintain a diary of activities related to contracts.

Area will ensure form CHP 78S will be completed by all employees associated with the management of contracts.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: OINV	Division: ACIG	Chapter: 3
Inspected by:		Date:
J. Speasl		12/15/2008

Page 3

Appeal Process (Appeals shall be filed within five (5) business days of	thekcompleted chapter inspection)
Commander's Basis for Appeal:	
Oblimander o Edolo for Appedi.	
,	
Appeal Review/Decision: (This shall be the only level of appeal).	
Level Level and Circulature	Date:
Lead Inspector's Signature:	12/15/2008
Responding Commander's Signature (for appeal):	Date:

Page 1 of 2

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 3, Command Procurements X-Number Program

Command: OINV	Division: ACIG	Number: 006
Evaluated by: J. Speasl		Date: 12/05/2008
Assisted by:		Date:

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF INSPECTION

Lead Inspector's Signature:

TYPE OF INSPECTION	Lead Insp	ector's Signa	ture:		
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level	27				
☐ Executive Office Level ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection					
Follow-up Required: ☐ Follow-up Inspection ☐ Yes ☒ No	Command	ler's Signatur	e:	Date:	
For applicable policy, refer to: HPM 11.1, Chapter 23					
 Are multiple X Numbers awarded to the same vendor to circumvent the \$4,999 contract limit? 	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
Does all X Number file documentation contain the STD. 204 Payee Data Record?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: FMS has certificates on file for all vendors currently used.	
3. Do X Numbers requiring a contract (i.e., janitorial or landscaping) have prior approval from the Business Services Section commander?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
4. Are X Number requests made prior to the date the services are performed?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
5. Are X Numbers issued for a one-time use?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
Are prohibited services performed using an X Number?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
Are X Numbers used for the procurement of a service and not the purchase of a commodity?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
Are X Numbers issued for telecommunications related services pre-approved by Telecommunications Section?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
9. Do X Numbers used for facilities related services (such as roof repair, electrical) costing over \$1,000 have prior approval from Facilities Section?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
Do X Numbers issued for lapsed contractual agreements have prior approval by the appropriate Assistant Commissioner?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
1					

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 3, Command Procurements X-Number Program

11. Do all X Numbers awarded to a non-small business vendor contain three price quotations?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
12. Do all X Numbers awarded to a small business vendor contain the small business certification from the Department of General Services (DGS)?	Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: FMS has certificates on file for all vendors currently used.
13. Does the file documentation contain the STD. 21 Drug Free workplace certification for X Number vendors?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: FMS has certificates on file for all vendors currently used.
14. Do emergency service X Numbers document and justify the emergency?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15. Is the itemized invoice for each X Number service maintained in the command's files?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 3, Command Procurements Contracts

Command:	Division: ACIG	Number: 006
Evaluated by: J. Speasl		Date: 12/15/2008
Assisted by:		Date:

applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected. Lead Inspector's Signature: TYPE OF INSPECTION ○ Command Level Division Level □ Voluntary Self-Inspection ☐ Executive Office Level Date: Commander's Signature: Follow-up Inspection Follow-up Required: X No Yes For applicable policy, refer to: HPM 11.1, Chapter 22 1. Is a CHP 78 Contract Request used to initiate all Remarks: □ N/A X Yes No service contracts which are repetitive, regardless of the estimated dollar value? 2. Is a CHP 78 Contract Request used for one-time Remarks: X Yes No \square N/A services exceeding \$4,999.99? Is the Office of Primary Interest (OPI) tracking Remarks: ☐ No □ N/A numbering beginning with the requesting command X Yes three-digit location code used, followed by "CP" for contract payable listed on the CHP 78? 4. Is the performance of contract services monitored Remarks: ⊠ Yes □ No \square N/A and documented? 5. Are all copies of correspondence with the vendor Remarks: □ N/A X Yes □ No maintained? 6. Are letters for contracts documented and maintained Remarks: which outline any problems related to substandard or ⊠ Yes ΠNo □ N/A non-performance of the vendor? 7. Is the final product to be delivered described Remarks: \square N/A ⊠ Yes □ No specifically and in as much detail as possible in the CHP 78? Are all required levels of approval/signature obtained, Remarks: □ N/A X Yes ΠNo including the required documentation and approvals for expedite and emergency contracts per HPM 11.1, Chapter 22, before forwarding the CHP 78 or CHP 78A?

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy,

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 3, Command Procurements Contracts

9. Are requests for contract services less than six months from the anticipated start date of the cont pre-approved by Assistant Commissioner, Staff, for expedited processing?	ract Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
10. Is all work completed and accepted by the Department before expiration of contract agreement.	ent? Xes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
11. Are contract services performed according to the quality, quantity, objectives, timeframes, and in the manner specified in the contract (e.g., review progress reports and interim products)?	e 🛛 Yes	□ No	│ □ N/A	Remarks:
12. Are invoices for payment reviewed and approved substantiate expenditures for work performed and prevent penalties being assessed?		□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
13. Are contract expenditures monitored to ensure the are <u>sufficient funds</u> to pay for all services rendered as required by contract?		□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
14. Does the requestor verify the contractor has fulfille all requirements of the contract before approving the final invoice?		□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15. Is the final invoice identified and approved, as appropriate and forwarded to Fiscal Management Section for payment?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
16. Are all applicable form sections of the CHP 78 completed?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
17. Do emergency contracts document and justify a sudden, unexpected occurrence that poses a clear and imminent danger, requiring immediate action to mitigate the loss or impairment of life, health, property, or essential public services?	Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
18. Are all employees associated with the managemen of a contract completing the CHP 78S, Conflict of Interest Statement, Employee, form?	t Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: 78S not found within files.
19. Are problems concerning the contractor's performance fully documented in writing and made part of the contract manager's contract file?	a Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
20. Are contract amendment request dollar amounts	ПYes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 3, Command Procurements Contracts

			,	
21. Is the length of the contract amendment request more than one year?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
22. Are amendments requested before the expiration of the original contract?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
23. Is a service contract on a CHP 78, Contract Request initiated for any commercial meeting/conference room rental which is expected to exceed \$4,999.99 in total cost?	Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
24. Do conference room rental costs exceeding the \$500 per day limit have pre-approval from Assistant Commissioner, Staff?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
25. Are California Department of Justice (DOJ) and Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) fingerprint checks and driver license checks conducted for all of the following types of agreements:	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
 (1) Avionics Maintenance and Repair (2) Helicopter Maintenance and Repair (3) Instructor Services (on-site) (4) Janitorial Services (5) Consulting Services 		,		
26. Is a <u>driver license check</u> conducted for, but not limited to, all of the following types of agreements, if the contractor and/or specifically assigned personnel are scheduled to be on-site for more than 30 days:	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
(1) Alarm and Fire Alarm Monitoring (2) Camera Maintenance and Repair (3) Carpet Installation (4) Diesel Generator Maintenance and Repair (5) Dishwasher Maintenance and Repair (6) Elevator Maintenance and Repair (7) Fire Extinguisher Service (8) Garage Door Maintenance and Repair (9) Graphic Arts Equipment Maintenance and Repair (10) Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning Service (11) Laundry/Linen Service (12) Office Machine Maintenance and Repair (13) Painting Services (interior of facility) (14) Plumbing Services (15) Scale Maintenance and Repair (16) Steam Cleaning Services (Carpet, not scales) (17) Telephone Services (cellular, satellite, and regular) (18) Television Equipment Maintenance and Repair (19)Uninterruptible Power Supply Maintenance and Repair				

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 3, Command Procurements Contracts

forwarded to Co	ense and fingerprint information ontract Services Unit (CSU), along it number for retention after view?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
adverse informa canceling the co and/or criminal I	by the command to determine if ation discovered is grounds for ontract (i.e., adverse driver license nistory information is received ontractor or the contractor's	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks;	,
	records, and relevant documentation aree years after the final payment of	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
30. Is a log sheet ma related to the co	aintained for a diary of activities ntract?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	No log.
31. Is a computer file administered?	e prepared for all contracts	Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	No file known.
	prepared listing all expenditures?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	No known spreadsheet.
	to the contractor documented for services to begin?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
	contacted to determine if all invoices red in order to oversee the contract?	Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	Unknown.
contain detailed	rvices contracts of \$1,000 or more performance criteria and a schedule (SCM Vol. I, Ch. 3, Section 3.02.1)	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	Unknown.
	t file contain the STD. 4 Contractor or consultant contracts? B, Section 3.02.5)	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks;	
37. Does Contract wo final contract? (So	ork only begin after approval of the CM Vol. 1, Ch. 4, Section 4.09)	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
19130 (a) or (b) d	ents for Government Code Section ocumented and justified for contracts? (GC 19130 & SCM Vol. I, 15)	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
39. Are any contract papproval and exec Ch. 4, Section 4.0	payments made prior to the final cution of the contract? (SCM Vol. I, 9)	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 3, Command Procurements Cal-Card Program

Command: OINV	Division: AÇIG	Number: 006
Evaluated by: J. Speasl		Date: 12-15-2008
Assisted by:		Date:

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up inspection, the "Follow-up inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE	OF INSPECTION		Lead Insp	oector's Signa	iture:	
Di	vision Level	⊠ Command Level				
□E×	xecutive Office Level	☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection				
F	ollow-up Required: ☐ Yes No	Follow-up Inspection	Command	der's Signatur	'e:	Date:
		o: HPM 11.2, Chapter 3				
	Card program completion Interest Statement, Em	ociated with the use of the Cal- ing the CHP 78S, Conflict of ployee, form?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
2.	Are STD. 21 Drug Free maintained within the C each vendor utilized?	Workplace certifications al Card command file for	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: FMS has certificates on file for all vendors currently used.
3.	Are STD. 204 Payee Da in the Cal Card commar utilized?	ata Record forms maintained nd file for each vendor	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: FMS has certificates on file for all vendors currently used.
4,		d Waste Management Board aintained for each vendor	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: FMS has certificates on file for all vendors currently used.
5.	If the vendor is a small be is the certification letter to General Services mainta		☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: FMS has certificates on file for all vendors currently used.
6,	When fair and reasonab reasonable method docujustified?	le is utilized, is the fair and umented and properly	☐ Yes	No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
7.	to circumvent transaction	,	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
8.	Does the CHP 317 Cal C purchase?	Card Log fully describe the	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
9.	Are bank statements record Cal Card Log and the record	onciled with the CHP 317 celpts/invoices?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

Page

2 of 2

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 3, Command Procurements Cal-Card Program

10. Is the CHP 317 Cal Card Log properly authorized and maintained?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
11. Are credit invoices/receipts for returned items maintained with the bank statement?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
12. Do non-itemized purchase receipts/invoices document the purchase by listing the commodity, quantity, and unit price of the item(s) purchased on the bank statement.	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Itemized.
13. Are Cal Cards maintained in a secure area?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks: OSS1 is cardholder, only one card.
14. Are Cal Card purchases pre-approved by the commander?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15. Have all cardholders received training on the Department's procurement processes?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Are item(s) purchased with the Cal Card prohibited by departmental policy?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 3, Command Procurements Purchases

Command: OINV	Division: ACIG	Number: 006
Evaluated by: J. Speasl		Date: 12/15/2008
Assisted by:		Date:

Il be comm tions Docu nd/or corre	ented on via ment and ad ective action(the "Rema dressed to s) taken. It	rks" section. the next leve this form is t	Additionally, such lof command.
Londino	ncolor's Ciana	turo		
Lead IIIs	peciol 8 Signa	Lure.	,	
Comman	der's Signatur	e; 		Date:
⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
⊠ Yes	☐ No	□N/A	Remarks:	
	Lead Ins Lead Ins Comman Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	De commented on via tions Document and additions Document	De commented on via the "Remations Document and addressed to nd/or corrective action(s) taken. It deficient items need to be re-inspective action(s) taken. It deficient items need to be re-inspective.	Commander's Signature: Yes

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 3, Command Procurements Purchases

8. Do specialized items purchased contain the appropriate Division, and/or OPI approvals?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Are the CHP 43, Purchase Requisitions, competitively bid?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
10. Does the CHP 315, Price Comparison Worksheet, document at least two price quotations?	∑ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
11. Are Limited Competitive Bidding purchase requests that limit or restrict the purchase to one specific brand or model justified and in compliance with SAM 3555?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
12. Are Limited Competitive Bidding purchase requests for items that interface with existing departmental equipment in compliance with SAM 3555.3?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
13. Do Non-Competitive Bid (NCB) purchase requests contain the NCB justification and NCB Corrective Action Plan documents?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
14. Are items checked immediately for content and damage when delivered?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15. Is the "received copy" of the purchase order approved, dated, and forwarded to the Fiscal Management Section (FMS), Account Payable Unit, within three business days of receipt, if all items are accepted?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
 16. Are freight and/or packing slips attached to the received copy of the purchase order and sent to FMS?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
 17. Is FMS provided a photocopy of the purchase order and any approved freight or packing slips as acknowledgement that a portion of the order has been received?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
18. Is the original "received copy" retained by the command for completion and forwarding to the FMS when the complete shipment is received?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
19. Are <u>Damaged items</u> delivered by common carrier acknowledged on the freight bill by the carrier's driver before being accepted?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	1			i

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 3, Command Procurements Purchases

20	Is Purchasing Services Unit (PSU) notified immediately by telephone, followed in writing either by a memorandum or e-mail, requesting cancellation of the requisition or deletion of an item, if it is determined that a commodity requested on a CHP 43 is no longer needed and a purchase order has not yet been prepared?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
21	Are open purchase orders periodically reviewed and investigated to ensure the orders are not opened for an unreasonable length of time. (SAM 8422.0)	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
22.	Are copies of the purchase requisitions and purchase orders maintained for three years after payment? (SCM Vol. II, Ch. 11, Topic 4)	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
 23.	Are decisions documented to create a paper trail documenting the basis of the decisions made for the purchase? (SCM Vol II Ch. 2, Topic 11)	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
24.	Are purchase orders split to evade competitive bidding requirements or to circumvent the Department's delegated purchase authority limits? (Public Contracts Code Section 10329)	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
OINV	4	
Inspected by:	Date:	
Nestor Michelen	12/16/2008	

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans, and may be used to appeal findings. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required. TYPE OF INSPECTION Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level Appeal Included ☐ Executive Office Level Attachments Included Commander's Signature: Date: Forward to: Follow-up Required: 005 Yes ⊠ No Due Date: 12/19/2008 Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices: No innovative practices noted. Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement: None.

On December 15, 2008 a Command Procurements Inspection was performed at the direction of Lieutenant M. Nielsen pursuant to HPM 22.1. This inspection looked at Fiscal Controls. This inspection included all folders and files associated with the above program found within the Office of Investigations (OINV). OSSI Maggie Peck was utilized as the Command's resource for assistance with the inspection.

No discrepancies or issues of concern were found.

Inspector's Findings:

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Commander's Response:

Inspector's Comments:

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
OINV	ACIG	4
Inspected by:		Date:
Michelena	•	12/15/2008

Page 2

		•			
			•		
,	*				

: Required Action

Corrective Action Plan/Timeline

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

Appeal Review/Decision: (This shall be the only level of appeal).

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Commander's Basis for Appeal:

Command: OINV	Division: ACIG	Chapter:
Inspected by:		Date:
Michelena		12/15/2008

Page 3

·		
we have a second		
	·	

Appeal Riocess, (Appeals shall be filed within five (5) business days of the completed chapter inspection).

Lead Inspector's signature Date: 12/18/2008

CHP 680A (Rev. 09-08) OPI 010

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

	Responding Commander's Signature (for appeal):	Date:	,
İ			
		1	

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4
Fiscal Controls

Command:	Division:	Number:
OINV	NV ACIG	
Evaluated by:	Date:	
Nestor Michelena		12/16/2008
Assisted by:		Date:
George Gori		12/16/2008

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues; or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF INSPECTION			Lead Inspector's Signature:				
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level							
☐ Office of Inspections ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection							
Follow-up Required: Yes No Follow-Up Inspection			Command	ler's Signatur	9:		Date:
For applicable policies, refer to State Administrative Manual (SAM), HPM 11.1, Chapter 4, and HPM 11.2, Chapter 2.							
1.	Is management actively i approving paperwork rela preparing collections?	ted to receiving and	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
2.	Does the command have Procedures (SOP) to pro- for overall management a receiving and preparing c	vide necessary guidelines nd accountability of ollections?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
3.	Does the command have duties for collections rece		⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
4.	Does the command have duties for the cash receipt			☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
5.	Is access to the safe and/ restricted?	or vault appropriately	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
6.		h identifies who has access d when changes in access	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
7.	Was the lock combination number of employees we		☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
8.	Is the safe securely ancho	red to the building?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
9.	Are weekly transmittal repactordance with department		⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
10.	Is the weekly transmittal re	eport(s) submitted to Fiscal S) within five working days	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4
Fiscal Controls

11. Does the command submit the following forms with the weekly transmittal when applicable? (1) CHP 265, Sale of Discarded Tires/Junk	: Yes	- □ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
Batteries/Used Rotors. (2) CHP 36, Evidence/Property Receipt/Report (Unclaimed Property). (3) STD 634, Absence and Additional Time Worked				
Report, for jury duty. (4) CHP 221, Malicious Damage Report. (5) CHP 464, Traffic Control Cost Estimate – Advance Deposit.				
(6) Civil subpoena. 12. Is a memorandum for cash shortages prepared if necessary?	✓ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
13. Does the command ensure the information written on the counter receipt is complete and legible?		□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
14. Was a counter receipt issued for each witness fee deposit received?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	│ □ N/A	Remarks:
15. Was a counter receipt issued for each movie, wide-load, and special event detail(s)?	Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
16. Was each counter receipt issued for each sale, including the sale of discarded tires, junk batteries, used rotors, and other cash received?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
17. Is sales tax added to items that are not for resale?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
18. Are all counter receipts pre-numbered and issued in numerical sequence?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
19. Were transfers of counter receipt books/certificates between field commands reported on a CHP 266A, Credit Memo - Non- Equipment?	☐Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
20. Is the STD 439, Disbursement Voucher, properly authorized and completed to support expenditure?	Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
21. Are the CHP 264, Petty Cash Replenishment Requests, completed at least monthly if over \$10.00, quarterly if under \$10.00, and on June 30 of each fiscal year?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A .	Remarks: Completed in March of 2008.
22. Is the CHP 264 properly authorized?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
23. Does the total amount of cash, receipts on hand, and receipts in transit equal the total of petty cash and change funds?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□N/A	Remarks:
24. Is there documentation to support periodic reviews of petty cash and change funds performed by the commander or designated person?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: Completed by Lt. M. Richard
25. Are overages and shortages of the petty cash funds reported to Fiscal Management Section?	ПYes	ΠNo	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Memo for shortage of .02

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4
Fiscal Controls

26. Were change funds used to cash checks, money orders or cashier/travelers checks?	Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
27. Are change funds over \$100 and petty cash funds over \$200 kept in a safe, vault, or money chest adequate to safeguard cash?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
28. Are all petty cash purchases under \$50?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
29. Is petty cash used to purchase prohibited items?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
30. Did the command circumvent the dollar limitation by splitting the purchase?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
31. Is a petty cash custodian designated by the commander?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: